Omer Goldberg, Tristan How, Yuheng "Brian" Li, Richa Shah HCAT1-GC 1010: Human Resources Information Systems Professor John Reilly May 5, 2025

Enhancing the Employee Benefits System at InnovateTech

I. Executive Summary

InnovateTech, a fast-growing mid-sized SaaS company, faces growing inefficiencies and compliance risks due to a fragmented and manual employee benefits system. Current challenges include high administrative burden, compliance risks, poor user experience, lack of data integration, and over \$20,000 in annual compliance penalties. These issues have also contributed to increased employee turnover and reduced HR capacity for strategic work.

After evaluating multiple vendors, Sequoia was selected for its integrated platform, user-friendly design, and robust analytics. The solution is expected to reduce HR workload by 60%, improve employee satisfaction, and deliver \$250,000 in first-year benefits. With a payback period of under 12 months and strong alignment to InnovateTech's goals, immediate adoption of Sequoia is recommended to modernize operations and support long-term growth.

II. Business Problem, Context, and Scope

Company Overview:

InnovateTech is a mid-sized SaaS company headquartered in New York, NY, employing approximately 850 people globally. With a focus on providing enterprise-level cloud-based collaboration tools, InnovateTech has experienced rapid growth over the past three years. The workforce includes a mix of engineers, sales professionals, customer support teams, and corporate staff, with 40% working remotely. Culturally, InnovateTech is committed to providing transparency to all employees and empowering them to make meaningful contributions to the company.

Current State of Employee Benefits Administration:

Despite its innovation-driven business model, InnovateTech's internal HR operations—especially employee benefits administration—remain outdated and inefficient. The current process is highly manual, fragmented across multiple systems, and lacks a cohesive user interface. Benefits enrollment is conducted through spreadsheets and email communications, while compliance documentation is stored separately in HR's internal drive.

There is no centralized portal for employees to view or manage their benefits, leading to confusion and disengagement.

Key Pain Points:

- <u>Poor User Experience</u>: Employees report frustration navigating multiple platforms and receiving inconsistent communication regarding benefits offerings, deadlines, and changes. During open enrollment, the HR team is inundated with basic inquiries, many of which could be avoided through a more intuitive platform.
- <u>High Administrative Burden</u>: HR personnel spend approximately 25–30% of their time on manual data entry, verification, and responding to repetitive employee questions. This prevents the team from focusing on strategic initiatives like workforce planning and DEI efforts.
- <u>Lack of Data Integration</u>: Current systems do not communicate with each other, making it difficult to pull real-time insights on benefits usage, costs, or trends. This limits the leadership team's ability to make data-driven decisions about plan design and employee engagement.
- <u>Compliance Risks</u>: The absence of automated compliance checks and audit trails
 exposes the company to penalties related to ACA requirements, COBRA tracking, and
 international benefits obligations. This risk is compounded as the company scales
 globally.

Quantified Impacts:

- <u>Turnover</u>: Exit interviews indicate that 5-10% of voluntary resignations in the last year were partially attributed to benefits confusion or dissatisfaction.
- Administrative Time: An internal time audit revealed that HR spends an average of 80 hours per month on benefits-related manual tasks.
- <u>Compliance Costs</u>: In the last fiscal year, InnovateTech incurred \$20,000 in avoidable penalties due to missed compliance deadlines.

III. Vendor Selection Process

We initiated a structured vendor selection process to address InnovateTech's benefits administration challenges. This involved gathering stakeholder-informed requirements, identifying and evaluating potential vendors, and conducting demos assessed by a

cross-functional team. Input from HRIS, IT, Benefits, and Marketing ensured both strategic alignment and technical feasibility (see Table 1).

Table 1: Stakeholder-Informed Requirements Gathering

| Stakeholder Group | Focus Area | Key Requirements Contributed |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| HRIS | Deep system knowledge; ensures compatibility with current HR tech stack; focuses on systems integration and data management | Seamless integration with existing HRIS and payroll systems; data accuracy; audit capabilities |
| IT | Covers security, integration, and technical feasibility/infrastructure | Data encryption; API compatibility; scalability; system uptime |
| Benefits | Brings strategic and operational benefits insights — plan administration and compliance | Support for open enrollment, life event changes, ACA/COBRA/ERISA compliance, vendor file feeds |
| Marketing (Functional Lead) | Focuses on internal communication and user experience; ensures rollout messaging are employee-friendly | Intuitive employee interface; mobile accessibility; clear plan comparison tools; self-service UX |

These requirements were sent to an initial pool of seven vendors. Based on their responses, we shortlisted three finalists—Sequoia, Workday, and Zenefits—due to their strong alignment with integration needs, scalability potential, and user experience.

A cross-functional evaluation committee independently scored the finalist platforms using a weighted matrix (see Table 2) based on six criteria: usability, scalability, integration, training/support, analytics, and overall vendor viability. Sequoia emerged as the top performer, confirming its fit for InnovateTech's present and future needs.

Table 2: Vendor Evaluation Matrix

| Vendor | Usability | Scalability | Integration | Training and Support | Analytics | Pricing Model | Vendor Viability |
|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|
| Sequoia | Excellent | High | Seamless with APIs | Comprehensive | Real-time | Enterprise pricing based on company size | High |
| Workday | Moderate | High | Native (SAP) | Limited personalization | Standard | Annual Subscription: \$300,000 to \$500,000 | Moderate |
| Zenefits | Good | Moderate | Basic Integrations | Good | Basic | Per employee per month model: \$10 | Low |

Sequoia stood out due to its modern, user-centric platform that combines benefits, compensation, wellbeing, and compliance. Unlike Workday's rigid module or Zenefits' limited scalability, Sequoia offers configurable workflows, robust analytics, and strong support services tailored to mid-sized tech companies. Its proven success with similar SaaS firms and its emphasis on employee experience made it the clear choice for InnovateTech.

IV. Proposed Solution with Sequoia

Sequoia transforms InnovateTech's disjointed, labor-intensive benefits process with a centralized, intuitive platform that delivers real-time insights for both employees and HR leadership.

1. Centralized Benefits Portal

Sequoia provides a single-entry platform where employees can access, enroll, and manage all benefits, from health plans and FSAs to wellness stipends and retirement contributions. This drastically reduces confusion, streamlines access, and ensures a consistent experience. Sequoia also allows InnovateTech to personalize some of its offerings so they are more

enhanced based on specific employee needs, providing a competitive advantage in talent acquisition.

2. Self-Service Capabilities

Using Sequoia, employees can self-initiate and complete benefits-related tasks, e.g., updating dependents after a life event, comparing plan options, etc., without HR intervention. Guided walkthroughs and plan comparison tools enhance transparency and empower employees to make informed choices. Notifications and deadline reminders are built-in, reducing last-minute requests and errors.

3. Data-Driven Dashboards for HR Leadership

The HR team gains access to real-time dashboards that monitor enrollment rates, cost utilization, and plan performance. Predictive analytics tools flag trends, suggest optimization opportunities, and support strategic planning decisions. This allows leadership to adapt benefits offerings based on workforce demographics and usage patterns.

4. Automated Compliance Tracking

Sequoia streamlines compliance with ACA, COBRA, and ERISA through automated eligibility tracking, audit-ready documentation, and built-in regulatory updates. It also supports international benefits compliance—a growing need as InnovateTech expands globally.

5. Personalized Support and Education Tools

The platform tailors each employee's dashboard to their benefit eligibility and life stage. Sequoia also offers 24/7 live chat and a digital benefits concierge to assist with plan navigation, claims, and provider questions, greatly reducing the HR team's time spent on repetitive inquiries.

Real-World Use Case Scenarios:

- Open Enrollment: Employees receive a personalized email prompting them to log into their benefits portal. There, they can compare new plan options via an interactive decision-support tool. If they need help, a chatbot or live support is available immediately.
- <u>Life Event (e.g., an employee has a baby):</u> An employee uploads a birth certificate and adds a dependent through a pre-built workflow. The system automatically adjusts deductions and notifies payroll.

 <u>Reporting:</u> HR leadership runs a report on quarterly benefits utilization segmented by department to assess cost-effectiveness and plan competitiveness.

Impact:

By implementing Sequoia, InnovateTech shifts from a reactive, labor-intensive benefits model to a proactive, strategic one. Employees gain clarity, convenience, and confidence in their benefits, while HR regains bandwidth for high-impact work like DEI, learning and development, and strategic workforce planning. Therefore, Sequoia's offerings seamlessly align HR tasks with InnovateTech's broader mission of innovation, employee empowerment, and operational excellence.

V. ROI and Cost-Benefit Analysis

Direct Benefits:

- Reduced Administrative Cost: We currently maintain a team of five benefits administrators to manage open enrollment, life-event changes, and ongoing enrollments through manual forms and follow-up emails. By deploying Sequoia's self-service portal and automated workflows, we anticipate that we can staff this function with just two FTEs, a 60% reduction. At an average fully-loaded cost of \$60,000 per FTE, this equates to approximately \$180,000 in annual salary savings.
- Lower Compliance Risk and Penalties: Sequoia's automated eligibility checks, deadline reminders, and audit trails will substantially reduce our exposure to filing errors and missed deadlines. We conservatively estimate avoiding \$20,000 per year in compliance fines and overtime costs associated with manual remediation.

Indirect Benefits:

• Increased Employee Engagement and Retention: We expect our employees to feel more empowered when they can log in at any time to view and adjust their benefit elections. A typical scenario is that under our current benefits system, many employees struggle to understand carrier deadlines and plan details, which drives frustration and unnecessary call-ins. With Sequoia, they will see real-time summaries of their elections and helpful plan comparisons, directly in their portal. We project this transparency to reduce voluntary turnover by 5–10%, saving the company an estimated \$50,000–\$100,000 annually in recruiting and onboarding costs.

- Strategic Redeployment of HR Resources: By shifting three FTEs away from manual
 administration, we free up roughly 6,000 hours per year that our HR team can invest in
 high-value projects: benefits education campaigns, targeted wellness initiatives, and
 deep-dive analytics on utilization trends. These strategic activities will further enhance
 employee well-being and control future cost drivers.
- <u>Data-Driven Decision-Making:</u> Sequoia's real-time dashboards will enable us to monitor utilization patterns (e.g., HSA election rates, wellness program uptake) and forecast next year's spend with greater accuracy. We estimate that this improved visibility can drive 1–2% cost avoidance on our \$3 million benefits budget, roughly \$30,000–\$60,000 annually.

Table 3: Cost of Implementation

| Item | Cost |
|--|-----------|
| One-time implementation fee: configuration, data migration, training | \$150,000 |
| Annual licensing and support | \$50,000 |

Table 4: Breakdown of Savings and Benefits

| Benefit Type | Amount | Details | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Direct Savings | \$200,000 | \$180,000 (headcount reduction) + \$20,000 (avoided compliance penalties and overtime) | | |
| Indirect Savings | \$50,000 | Turnover avoidance | | |
| Total Annual Savings: \$250,000 | | | | |

Table 5: 3-Year Payback Analysis

| Year | Cost | Saving/Benefits | New Cash Flow | Cumulative Cash Flow |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 0 | \$150,000 | \$0 | -\$150,000 | -\$150,000 |
| | (one-time implementation fee) | | | |

| 1 | \$50,000 (annual license and support) | \$250,000 | \$200,000 | \$50,000 |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2 | \$50,000 | \$250,000 | \$200,000 | \$250,000 |
| 3 | \$50,000 | \$250,000 | \$200,000 | \$450,000 |

We fully recoup our initial \$150,000 investment by the end of Year 1, after which the system delivers a net \$200,000 annually. Over three years, we generate \$450,000 in cumulative net benefits, three times our upfront cost, underscoring Sequoia's clear, rapid payback and sustained value.

VI. Project Implementation Plan

Based on the required tasks, our implementation will take 44 business days to complete. We will ensure smooth and timely switchover with minimal user impact and strong adoption. Our project implementation plan includes the following:

Table 6: Project Implementation Plan

| Phase | Task | Start Date | End Date | Duration | Key Stakeholders |
|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------------------|
| Launch | | 5/5/25 | 5/6/25 | 2 | |
| | Align on project goals and | | | | |
| | team roles | | | 1 | Benefits Manager |
| | Provide initial training to | | | | Vendor Rep / HR Ops |
| | internal stakeholders. | | | 1 | Manager |
| Kick-Off and | | | | | |
| Discovery | | 5/7/25 | 5/14/25 | 6 | |
| | Identify system gaps with | | | | |
| | vendors and stakeholders | | | 2 | Benefits Manager |
| | Identify impacted groups | | | | |
| | (employees, HR, payroll, | | | | |
| | managers) and outline comms | | | | |
| | plans accordingly | | | 2 | HRBP, Comms Specialist |

| | Define detailed | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|----|--------------------------|
| | implementation and readiness | | | | |
| | plans | | | 2 | PM |
| Configuration | | 5/14/25 | 5/27/25 | 10 | |
| | Set up Sequoia based on | | | | |
| | benefit structures by region | | | | |
| | (US, EMEA, APAC). | | | 4 | Vendor Rep/HRIS Analyst |
| | Migrate and validate legacy | | | | |
| | data | | | 3 | HRIS Analyst |
| | Develop and run test | | | | Benefit Manager/ HRIS |
| | scenarios | | | 3 | Analyst |
| Sandbox | | | | | |
| Review | | 5/27/25 | 6/5/25 | 8 | |
| | ADMINISTRATOR TRAINING: | | | | |
| | Train HR Ops and Benefits | | | | |
| | teams on platform navigation, | | | | |
| | case handling, and manual | | | | Vendor Rep / HR Ops/ |
| | overrides | | | 2 | Benefits Manager |
| | TEST CASES EXECUTION: | | | | |
| | covering benefits elections, | | | | |
| | regional variations, and | | | | Benefit Manager/ HR Ops/ |
| | mid-year changes | | | 3 | HRIS Analyst |
| | Refine the configuration based | | | | |
| | on testing, and correct any | | | | |
| | data mapping errors | | | | |
| | discovered during testing | | | 2 | HRIS Analyst |
| | | | | | Benefit Manager/ HRIS |
| | Sandbox Signoff | | | 1 | Analyst |
| Testing | | 6/5/25 | 6/23/25 | 13 | |
| | Conduct UATs | | | 5 | Benefit Manager/ HR Ops/ |

| | | | | | HRIS Analyst/ |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | HRBP/Payroll |
| | Integration sprint with Sequoia | | | | |
| | and IT to validate system | | | | |
| | connectivity and data flows | | | 3 | Vendor Rep/ HRIS |
| | Defining rollback procedures | | | | |
| | and a contingency plan | | | 2 | PM/ HRIS Analyst |
| | Prepare employee-facing | | | | HR Ops / Comms |
| | training materials. | | | 3 | Specialist |
| Go-Live | | 6/23/25 | 7/3/25 | 9 | |
| | | | | | HRIS Specialist (+Vendor |
| | Complete final data loads | | | 2 | Rep for support) |
| | | | | | Comms Specialist/ HR |
| | End User Training | | | 3 | Ops / Benefit Manager |
| | Live Support | | | 3 | HR Ops Manager |
| | Transition long-term | | | | |
| | maintenance to HR Ops and | | | | |
| | Benefits teams. | | | 1 | Project Manager |
| | | | | 60 total | |
| | | | | days → 44 | |
| | | | | business | |
| TOTAL | | 5/5/25 | 7/3/25 | days | |

VII. Project Team Structure, Organizational Impact, and Change Management

Team Structure: To ensure a successful implementation, the following stakeholders will be actively involved:

External Stakeholders:

- <u>Contracted Project Manager:</u> Oversees timelines, coordinates tasks, and schedules meetings with relevant stakeholders.
- <u>Sequoia Representative:</u> Provides product expertise and technical support throughout the implementation.

Internal Stakeholders:

- <u>Benefits Manager:</u> Internal project lead; defines system requirements and ensures alignment with company policies.
- <u>Payroll Manager:</u> Oversees payroll integration and ensures compliance with pay-related benefit impacts.
- HR Business Partners: Change champions. Serve as communication bridges to employees and reinforce adoption across teams.
- HR Operations Manager: Brings expertise on current workflows and employee-facing HRIS processes.
- HRIS Analyst: Supports data migration and manages technical integrations with Sequoia.
- Communications Specialist: Coordinates company-wide messaging and training materials.

Organizational Impact: During implementation, employees may begin to feel uncertain about how the new system will affect their health coverage, plan options, and benefit costs. Without timely and clear communication, this uncertainty can lead to reduced trust and engagement. In addition, as the legacy system is phased out and new workflows are introduced, some employees may need to operate in dual systems temporarily. This transition may cause short-term confusion or frustration.

Proactively addressing these concerns through targeted messaging, live support, and accessible documentation is critical to maintaining trust and ensuring a smooth transition.

Change Management: Our goal is to minimize disruption and maximize adoption, enabling employees to navigate the new system confidently and with minimal support needs.

Before Go-Live:

- Communicate what is changing, when, and why including any improvements to benefits.
- Generate early engagement and positive anticipation through targeted messaging.

After Sandbox Review:

Assess feedback and adjust communication or training plans based on test findings.

Go-Live Week:

- Deliver live training sessions by global region (USA, EMEA, APAC), led by HR Ops and Benefits. Sessions will be recorded for future use.
- Distribute employee manuals covering enrollment steps, dates, and support contacts.
- Host open office hours to provide real-time support and answer questions.
- Begin monitoring system logins, enrollment completion, and help desk trends.

Post Go-Live:

- Gather feedback from HRBPs, HR Ops, and other key stakeholders to understand adoption challenges and wins.
- Analyze usage data by region or department to identify adoption gaps.
- Reinforce messaging or support where engagement appears low.

VIII. Risks, Evaluation Plan, and Metrics for Success

We have identified five key risks to our Sequoia rollout. For each, we describe the threat and how we will respond if it arises (see Table 7). To ensure we realize our projected ROI and maintain momentum, we will track these metrics on a defined cadence (see Table 8).

Table 7: Risks, Threats, and Mitigation Plan

| Risk | Threat | Mitigation Plan | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Data Migration Inaccuracies | Legacy spreadsheets and paper forms may contain gaps or formatting issues, leading to incorrect benefit elections. | Pilot migration with a representative dataset Parallel run of legacy vs. Sequoia systems | |

| | | Audit checklist and Data Quality Owner sign-off |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Low User Adoption | Employees and even our benefits admins may revert to manual processes, undermining the system's value. | Empower users to navigate confidently through a detailed Change Management Plan Train-the-trainer sessions Power-user recognition incentives |
| Integration Breakdowns | API or mapping failures could disrupt payroll feeds or HRIS synchronization, causing enrollment errors. | End-to-end sandbox testing Joint IT and Sequoia integration sprints Rollback scripts and fallback mode |
| Change-Management Overload | The HR team may become overwhelmed if the Sequoia implementation coincides with other major initiatives. | Staggered project milestones Dedicated Change Champion monitoring Weekly "office hours" support |
| Regulatory Update Delays | New federal or state benefits regulations may be announced during our rollout, requiring system reconfiguration. | Subscription to compliance bulletins Quarterly legal partner reviews Rapid configuration via rules engine |

Table 8: Metrics for Success

| Metrics | What We Measure | Target | When |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| System Adoption Rate | Percentage of benefit-eligible employees completing enrollment in Sequoia | ≥85% by the end of Month 2 | Weekly dashboards during the first two months; monthly thereafter |
| HR Time Saved | Reduction in benefit-administration hours per cycle (baseline ~1,000 hrs) | ≥ 600 hrs saved per cycle | After each open-enrollment and life-event period |

| Data Accuracy Rate | Percentage of migrated records without errors | ≥99.5% clean data | Immediately post-migration and at quarterly audits |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| Employee Satisfaction | Average score on a "Benefits Experience" survey (1-5 scale) | ≥4.2 out of 5 | One month post-launch and again at Year 1 |
| Compliance Incident Count | Number of missed filings or eligibility errors | 0 Incidents | Quarterly compliance reviews |

We will convene our project Steering Committee monthly to review risk status and metric trends. If any metric falls below its target, we will launch a rapid response action plan, whether that is additional training, configuration tweaks, or escalation to executive sponsors, to keep the project on track and ensure we capture the full value of Sequoia.

IX. Conclusion and Final Recommendation

We have identified four critical pain points in InnovateTech's benefits administration and ongoing exposure to compliance errors and penalties. Sequoia's unified, self-service platform directly addresses each of these challenges by replacing spreadsheets and paper forms with an intuitive online portal, automating eligibility checks and audit trails, consolidating benefits data into actionable dashboards, and offering employees 24/7 access to plan details, comparisons, and reminders—all of which will significantly improve accuracy, reduce errors, and elevate engagement.

Our 44-day phased implementation, beginning with pilot migrations and sandbox integrations, followed by targeted training and a full cut-over, ensures minimal disruption and rapid adoption. Financially, the project pays for itself in under twelve months and delivers \$450,000 in net benefits over three years, freeing our HR team to focus on strategic initiatives rather than transactional work. These gains, combined with Sequoia's powerful analytics and continuous support, will transform our benefits administration into a proactive, strategic function.

We therefore recommend that InnovateTech proceed immediately with Sequoia. By doing so, we will streamline operations, mitigate compliance risk, empower employees with transparent, user-friendly tools, and unlock lasting value for both our people and our bottom line.

References:

Boardman, A. E., Greenberg, D. H., Vining, A. R., & Weimer, D. L. (2018). *Cost–benefit analysis: Concepts and practice* (5th ed.). Cambridge University Press.

International Organization for Standardization. (2018). *ISO 31000:2018 risk management – Guidelines*. https://www.iso.org/standard/65694.html

Schooley, S. (2024, June 26). *TriNet Review and Plan Costs in 2025 (FKA Zenefits)*. business.com.

https://www.business.com/reviews/zenefits-hr-software/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Sequoia. (2025). Sequoia benefits administration platform. https://www.sequoia.com

The Most Referred PEO Company in Tech. Sequoia One. (2025, March 21).

https://one.sequoia.com/?utm_source=google&utm_medium=ppc-search&utm_campaign=PY23
SequoiaOne Branded&utm_content=Branded&utm_term=sequoia+one&gad_source=1&gad_campaignid=20914192016&gbraid=0AAAAADmwy_egx1hHbUNcCfJJyH6oNs1um&gclid=EAIaI_QobChMIs-_porSNjQMVnmFHAR0RPC10EAAYASAAEgLenvD_BwE